

EXTENDED LAGUERRE INEQUALITIES AND A CRITERION FOR REAL ZEROS

DAVID A. CARDON

ABSTRACT. Let $f(z) = e^{-bz^2} f_1(z)$ where $b \geq 0$ and $f_1(z)$ is a real entire function of genus 0 or 1. We give a necessary and sufficient condition in terms of a sequence of inequalities for all of the zeros of $f(z)$ to be real. These inequalities are an extension of the classical Laguerre inequalities.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Laguerre-Pólya class, denoted $\mathcal{LP}$, is the collection of real entire functions obtained as uniform limits on compact sets of polynomials with real coefficients having only real zeros. It is known that a function f is in $\mathcal{LP}$ if and only if it can be represented as

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = e^{-bz^2} f_1(z)$$

where $b \geq 0$ and where $f_1(z)$ is a real entire function of genus 0 or 1 having only real zeros. The basic theory of $\mathcal{LP}$ can be found in [6, Ch. 8] and [8, Ch. 5.4].

In this paper, we extend a theorem of Csordas, Patrick, and Varga on a necessary and sufficient condition for certain real entire functions to belong to the Laguerre-Pólya class. They proved the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let*

$$f(z) = e^{-bz^2} f_1(z), \quad (b \geq 0, f(z) \not\equiv 0),$$

where $f_1(z)$ is a real entire function of genus 0 or 1. Set

$$(1.2) \quad L_n[f](x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \frac{(-1)^{k+n}}{(2n)!} \binom{2n}{k} f^{(k)}(x) f^{(2n-k)}(x)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \geq 0$. Then $f(z) \in \mathcal{LP}$ if and only if

$$(1.3) \quad L_n[f](x) \geq 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $n \geq 0$.

The forward direction is due to Patrick [7, Thm. 1]. The reverse direction was proved by Csordas and Varga [4, Thm. 2.9]. Theorem 1.1 is significant because it gives a nontrivial sequence of inequality conditions that hold for functions in the Laguerre-Pólya class. The case $n = 1$ reduces to the classical Laguerre inequality which says that if $f(z) \in \mathcal{LP}$, then

$$[f'(x)]^2 - f(x)f''(x) \geq 0$$

Key words and phrases. Laguerre-Pólya class, real zeros, Laguerre inequalities.

for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, inequalities like those in Theorem 1.1 are sometimes called Laguerre-type inequalities. Csordas and Escassut discuss the inequalities $L_n[f](x) \geq 0$ and related Laguerre-type inequalities in [3]. Other results on similar inequalities of Turán and Laguerre types can be found in [1] and [2].

2. AN EXTENSION OF LAGUERRE-TYPE INEQUALITIES

In this section, we extend Theorem 1.1 and give new necessary and sufficient inequality conditions for a function to belong to the Laguerre-Pólya class.

First we generalize the operator L_n defined in Theorem 1.1. Let

$$(2.1) \quad g(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^M c_\ell z^\ell = \prod_{j=1}^M (z + \alpha_j)$$

be a polynomial with complex roots. Define $\Phi(z, t)$ as the product

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi(z, t) = \prod_{j=1}^M f(z + \alpha_j t).$$

The coefficients of the Maclaurin series of $\Phi(z, t)$ with respect to t are functions of z , and we write:

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi(z, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(z) t^k,$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad A_k(z) = \frac{1}{k!} \left[\frac{d^k}{dt^k} \Phi(z, t) \right]_{t=0} = \frac{1}{k!} \left[\frac{d^k}{dt^k} \prod_{j=1}^M f(z + \alpha_j t) \right]_{t=0}.$$

Since $f(z)$ is entire, each $A_k(z)$ is entire. Another expression for $A_k(z)$ is given in (3.1). The choice $g(z) = 1 + z^2 = (z - i)(z + i)$ produces $A_{2k+1}(z) = 0$ and $A_{2k}(z) = L_k[f](z)$ as in (1.2) of Theorem 1.1. Thus, we may regard the sequence of functions $A_k(z)$ as a generalization of the sequence $L_k[f](z)$. We note that the zeros of $A_k(z)$ were studied by Dilcher and Stolarsky in [5]. In §3, we give several examples of $A_k(z)$ for interesting choices of $g(z)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f(z) = e^{-bz^2} f_1(z)$, where $f_1(z) \not\equiv 0$ is a real entire function of genus 0 or 1 and $b \geq 0$. Assume $g(z)$ in (2.1) is an even polynomial with non-negative real coefficients having at least one non-real root. Then $f \in \mathcal{LP}$ if and only if*

$$(2.5) \quad A_k(x) \geq 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $k \geq 0$.

Corollary 2.2. *The choice $g(z) = 1 + z^2$ in Theorem 2.1 gives $f(z) \in \mathcal{LP}$ if and only if $L_k[f](x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $k \geq 0$, as stated in Theorem 1.1.*

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Since $g(z)$ is an even polynomial, it follows that α_j is a root if and only if $-\alpha_j$ is a root with the same multiplicity. So,

$$\Phi(z, t) = \prod_{j=1}^M f(z + \alpha_j t) = \prod_{j=1}^M f(z - \alpha_j t) = \Phi(z, -t).$$

Hence, $A_k(z) \equiv 0$ for all odd k and we may write

$$\Phi(z, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{2k}(z) t^{2k}.$$

Now assume $A_{2k}(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $k \geq 0$. Let $f(z) = e^{-bz^2} f_1(z)$ where $b \geq 0$ and $f_1(z)$ is a real entire function of genus 0 or 1, and assume $f(z)$ is not identically zero. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that $f(z)$ has a non-real root, say z_0 . Let α_s be any fixed non-real root of $g(z)$ and write

$$z_0 = x_0 + \alpha_s t_0,$$

where both x_0 and t_0 are real. Then $f(z_0) = f(x_0 + \alpha_s t_0) = 0$, and

$$0 = \Phi(x_0, t_0) = \prod_{j=1}^M f(x_0 + \alpha_j t_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{2k}(x_0) t_0^{2k}.$$

Assume $t \neq 0$. Then the nonnegativity of $A_{2k}(x_0)$ implies $A_{2k}(x_0) = 0$ for all k . This in turn implies $\Phi(x_0, t)$ is identically zero for all complex t . But that is false since $f(z)$ is a nonzero entire function. Therefore, $t_0 = 0$. Then $z_0 = x_0 + \alpha_s t_0 = x_0$ is also real, contradicting the choice of z_0 . Thus, all the roots of $f(z)$ are real and $f(z) \in \mathcal{LP}$.

Conversely, assuming $f(z) \in \mathcal{LP}$, we will show that $A_{2k}(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $k \geq 0$. We will show this when $f(z)$ is a polynomial and the result for arbitrary $f(z) \in \mathcal{LP}$ will follow by taking limits. Let

$$f(z) = \prod_{i=1}^n (z + r_i)$$

where $r_1, \dots, r_n$ are real. Calculating $\Phi(z, t)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z, t) &= \prod_{j=1}^M f(z + \alpha_j t) = \prod_{j=1}^M \prod_{i=1}^n (z + \alpha_j t + r_i) \\ (2.6) \quad &= \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^M ((z + r_i) + \alpha_j t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^M c_{\ell} (z + r_i)^{\ell} t^{M-\ell}, \end{aligned}$$

where $g(z) = \prod_{j=1}^M (z + \alpha_j) = \sum_{\ell=0}^M c_{\ell} z^{\ell}$. Since $g(z)$ is an even polynomial, $c_{\ell} = 0$ for odd ℓ and

$$(2.7) \quad \Phi(z, t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^{M/2} c_{2\ell} (z + r_i)^{2\ell} t^{M-2\ell} = \sum_{k=0}^{nM/2} A_{2k}(z) t^{2k}.$$

From (2.7), $A_{2k}(z)$ is the sum of products of terms of the form $c_{2\ell} (z + r_i)^{2\ell}$. Because $c_{2\ell} \geq 0$ and $(z + r_i)^{2\ell}$ is a square, it follows that $A_{2k}(x) \geq 0$ for real x .

Now let $f(z) \in \mathcal{LP}$ be an arbitrary function that is not a polynomial. Then there exist polynomials $f_n(z) \in \mathcal{LP}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(z) = f(z)$$

uniformly on compact sets. The derivatives also satisfy

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n^{(k)}(z) = f^{(k)}(z)$$

uniformly on compact sets. If we write

$$\Phi_n(z, t) = \prod_{j=1}^M f_n(z + \alpha_j t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{n,2k}(z) t^{2k},$$

we see from (2.4) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{n,2k}(z) = A_{2k}(z)$$

uniformly on compact sets. Since $A_{n,2k}(x) \geq 0$ for real x , the limit also satisfies this inequality. Thus, for arbitrary $f(z) \in \mathcal{LP}$, $A_{2k}(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \geq 0$, completing the proof of the theorem. $\square$

3. DISCUSSION AND EXAMPLES

The function $A_k(z)$ in (2.4) is described in terms of the k th derivative of a product of entire functions. Either by using the generalized product rule for derivatives or by expanding each $f(z + \alpha_j t)$ as a series and multiplying series, one obtains the following formula for $A_k(z)$:

$$(3.1) \quad A_k(z) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash k} \frac{m_\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M)}{\lambda_1! \dots \lambda_r!} f(z)^{M-r} \prod_{j=1}^r f^{(\lambda_j)}(z),$$

where $\lambda \vdash k$ means that the sum is over all unordered partitions λ of k ,

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) \quad k = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_r,$$

where r is the length of the partition λ , and where $m_\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M)$ is the monomial symmetric function of M variables for the partition λ evaluated at the roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M$.

The coefficients c_ℓ of $g(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^M c_\ell z^\ell = \prod_{j=1}^M (z + \alpha_j)$ are elementary symmetric function of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M$. The monomial symmetric functions $m_\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M)$ appearing in (3.1) can therefore be calculated in terms of $c_0, \dots, c_M$ without direct reference to $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M$. We see that if $c_0, \dots, c_M$ are real, then $m_\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M)$ is also real. However, in general $m_\lambda(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M)$ is not necessarily positive even if all the c_ℓ are positive. So, in the setting of Theorem 2.1, the type of summation appearing in (3.1) will typically involve both addition and subtraction, and the nonnegativity of $A_k(x)$ for real x is not directly obvious from this representation.

Example 1. Let $g(z) = 4 + z^4$ and let $f(z) \in \mathcal{LP}$. Then $\Phi(z, t)$ is

$$f(z + (1+i)t)f(z + (1-i)t)f(z + (-1+i)t)f(z + (-1-i)t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{2k}(z) t^{2k}.$$

A small calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{2} A_4(x) = & -f(z)^3 f^{(4)}(z) + 3f(z)^2 f''(z)^2 + 6f'(z)^4 \\ & + 4f(z)^2 f^{(3)}(z) f'(z) - 12f(z) f'(z)^2 f''(z). \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 2.1 this expression is nonnegative for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example 2. Let $f(z) = \prod_{i=1}^n (z + r_i)$ where $r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and let

$$g(z) = z^{2m} + 1 = \prod_{j=1}^{2m} (z + \omega^{2j-1})$$

where $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/4m)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Calculating as in (2.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(z, t) &= \prod_{i=1}^n ((z + r_i)^{2m} + t^{2m}) \\ &= f(z)^{2m} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{t^{2m}}{(z + r_i)^{2m}}\right) \\ &= f(z)^{2m} \sum_{k=0}^n e_k \left(\frac{1}{(z+r_1)^{2m}}, \dots, \frac{1}{(z+r_n)^{2m}} \right) (t^{2m})^k,\end{aligned}$$

where e_k is the k th elementary symmetric function of n variables evaluated at $(z + r_1)^{-2m}, \dots, (z + r_n)^{-2m}$. Thus, if $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$A_{2mk}(x) = f(x)^{2m} e_k \left(\frac{1}{(x+r_1)^{2m}}, \dots, \frac{1}{(x+r_n)^{2m}} \right)$$

is expressed as a sum of squares of real numbers and is therefore nonnegative. Dilcher and Stolarsky studied the zeros of $A_{2mk}(x)$. (See Prop. 2.3 and §3 of [5]).

Example 3. This example illustrates how certain modifications to Theorem 2.1 are possible. Let $f(z)$ be a polynomial with *negative* roots. Then $f(z) = \prod_{i=1}^n (z + r_i)$ where each $r_i > 0$. Let

$$g(z) = 1 + z + z^2 = (z + e^{\pi i/3})(z + e^{-\pi i/3}).$$

Although $g(z)$ is not even as in the hypothesis of the theorem, its coefficients are nonnegative. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(z, t) &= f(z + te^{\pi i/3})f(z + te^{-\pi i/3}) \\ &= \underbrace{f(z)^2}_{A_0(z)} + \underbrace{f(z)f'(z)t}_{A_1(z)} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2!}(2f'(z)^2 - f(z)f''(z))t^2}_{A_2(z)} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{1}{3!}(3f'(z)f''(z) - 2f(z)f'''(z))t^3}_{A_3(z)} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{1}{4!}(6f''(z)^2 - 4f'(z)f^{(3)}(z) - f(z)f^{(4)}(z))t^4}_{A_4(z)} + \dots\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, calculating as in (2.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(z, t) &= \prod_{i=1}^n ((z + r_i)^2 + (z + r_i)t + t^2) \\ &= f(z)^2 \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{t}{z + r_i} + \frac{t^2}{(z + r_i)^2}\right) \\ &= f(z)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \left(\sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash k \\ \lambda_j \leq 2}} m_\lambda \left(\frac{1}{z+r_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{z+r_n} \right) \right) t^k,\end{aligned}$$

where the inner sum is over all unordered partitions λ of k whose parts satisfy $\lambda_j \leq 2$ and where m_λ is the monomial symmetric function in n variables for the partition λ evaluated at $(z + r_1)^{-1}, \dots, (z + r_n)^{-1}$. From the last expression, we see that each

$$A_k(x) \geq 0$$

for all $x \geq 0$ and all $k \geq 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] David A. Cardon and Adam Rich, *Turán inequalities and subtraction-free expressions*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **9** (2008), no. 4, Article 91, 11 pp. (electronic).
- [2] Thomas Craven and George Csordas, *Iterated Laguerre and Turán inequalities*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **3** (2002), no. 3, Article 39, 14 pp. (electronic).
- [3] George Csordas and Alain Escassut, *The Laguerre inequality and the distribution of zeros of entire functions*, Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal **12** (2005), no. 2, 331–345.
- [4] George Csordas and Richard S. Varga, *Necessary and sufficient conditions and the Riemann hypothesis*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **11** (1990), no. 3, 328–357.
- [5] Karl Dilcher and Kenneth B. Stolarsky, *On a class of nonlinear differential operators acting on polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **170** (1992), no. 2, 382–400.
- [6] Boris Ja. Levin, *Distribution of zeros of entire functions*, revised ed., Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 5, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1980.
- [7] Merrell L. Patrick, *Extensions of inequalities of the Laguerre and Turán type*, Pacific J. Math. **44** (1973), 675–682.
- [8] Qazi I. Rahman and Gerhard Schmeisser, *Analytic theory of polynomials*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 26, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY, PROVO, UTAH 84602, USA,
E-MAIL: CARDON@MATH.BYU.EDU, [HTTP://WWW.MATH.BYU.EDU/CARDON](http://WWW.MATH.BYU.EDU/CARDON)